

# On the connectivity of extremal Ramsey graphs

ANDREW BEVERIDGE

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Macalester College  
Saint Paul, MN 55105  
U.S.A.*

OLEG PIKHURKO\*

*Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213  
U.S.A.*

## Abstract

An  $(r, b)$ -graph is a graph that contains no clique of size  $r$  and no independent set of size  $b$ . The set of extremal Ramsey graphs  $ERG(r, b)$  consists of all  $(r, b)$ -graphs with  $R(r, b) - 1$  vertices, where  $R(r, b)$  is the classical Ramsey number. We show that any  $G \in ERG(r, b)$  is  $r - 1$  vertex connected and  $2r - 4$  edge connected for  $r, b \geq 3$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $R(r, b)$  be the classical Ramsey number. An  $(r, b)$ -graph is a graph that contains no clique of size  $r$  and no independent set of size  $b$ . Let  $ERG(r, b)$  (to abbreviate *extremal Ramsey graphs*) consist of all  $(r, b)$ -graphs with  $R(r, b) - 1$  vertices. In this paper we identify  $G \in ERG(r, b)$  with a red-blue coloring of the complete graph and we denote the graphs induced by the color classes as  $G_{\text{red}}$  and  $G_{\text{blue}}$ .

In his talk at the 2005 British Combinatorial Conference, David Penman established various properties of extremal Ramsey graphs and conjectured that for  $k \geq 3$  every graph  $G \in ERG(k, k)$  is connected. Here we will observe that this conjecture is true. In fact, its validity follows fairly straightforwardly from a result of Xiaodong, Zheng, and Radziszowski [7] (see Section 2). For the sake of completeness we present a short self-contained proof, which follows by adopting the methods in [7]. (More

---

\* Web: <http://www.math.cmu.edu/~pikhurko>. Partially supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant DMS-0457512.

recently, Shane Malik and David Penman [4] reported to the authors that they had independently proved this conjecture.)

**Theorem 1** *Let  $r \geq 3$ ,  $b \geq 2$ , and  $G \in \text{ERG}(r, b)$ . The red graph  $G_{\text{red}}$  is connected.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $b \geq 3$ , for otherwise  $G_{\text{red}} = K_{r-1}$  is clearly connected.

Suppose on the contrary that  $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2$  is a proper partition of the vertices with only blue edges across. Pick  $x_i \in V_i, i = 1, 2$ . Create a new graph  $G'$  by adding a new vertex  $x$  and coloring the incident edges as follows. Color  $xx_1$  and  $xx_2$  blue. Color  $xy$  with  $y \in V_i - x_i$  by the color of  $x_iy$ . Finally, recolor  $x_1x_2$  red.

Since we have strictly increased the number of vertices,  $G'$  must contain either a red  $K_r$  or a blue  $K_b$ . First suppose there is a red  $K_r$  on a set  $R$ .  $R$  must contain  $x$ . Indeed, the only difference between  $G' - x$  and  $G$  is the color of the edge  $x_1x_2$  and this solitary red edge joining  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  cannot be in a red  $K_r$ . Since  $xx_1$  and  $xx_2$  are blue,  $x_1, x_2 \notin R$  and  $R - x$  lies inside one part, say  $V_1$ . But then  $R - x + x_1$  is a red  $K_r$  in  $G$ , a contradiction. Next suppose we have a blue  $K_b$  on a set  $B$ . We must have  $x \in B$ . At least one of  $x_1, x_2$  is not in  $B$  since  $x_1x_2$  is red now. Next suppose  $x_1 \notin B$ . But then  $R - x + x_1$  is a blue  $K_b$  in  $G$  (because  $x_1x_2$  is blue in the original graph  $G$ .) This contradiction proves the theorem. ■

In the remainder of the paper, we explore how connected the red graph of  $G \in \text{ERG}(r, b)$  must be. We show that for  $r, b \geq 3$ , the (vertex) connectivity  $\kappa(G_{\text{red}}) \geq r - 1$  and the edge connectivity  $\lambda(G_{\text{red}}) \geq 2r - 4$ . There is no doubt that these bounds are very far from best possible, which may be even exponential in  $\min(r, b)$ . However, it is not clear how to get any essential improvement.

## 2 Vertex Connectivity

We will use the following result of Xiaodong, Zheng, and Radziszowski [7, Theorem 3] which builds upon the ideas from Burr, Erdős, Faudree, and Schelp [1].

**Theorem 2 (Xiaodong et al. [7])** *If  $2 \leq p \leq q$  and  $3 \leq r$ , then*

$$R(r, p + q - 1) \geq R(r, p) + R(r, q) + \begin{cases} r - 3, & \text{if } p = 2, \\ r - 2, & \text{if } p \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

In particular, the case  $p = 2$  and  $q = b - 1$  gives the original result of Burr et al. [1, Theorem 1] (see also [7, Theorem 1] for a small correction, namely that (1) is not true for  $b = 2$ ): for any  $r \geq 2$  and  $b \geq 3$ ,

$$R(r, b) \geq R(r, b - 1) + 2r - 3. \tag{1}$$

It follows that for any  $G \in \text{ERG}(r, b)$ , with  $b \geq 3$ , the minimum red degree

$$\delta(G_{\text{red}}) \geq 2r - 4. \tag{2}$$

Indeed, take any vertex  $x$  and let  $d_{G_{\text{red}}}(x)$  denote its red degree. The blue neighborhood of  $x$  has  $R(r, b) - 2 - d_{G_{\text{red}}}(x)$  vertices and induces an  $(r, b - 1)$ -graph. Hence,

$$R(r, b) - 2 - d_{G_{\text{red}}}(x) \leq R(r, b - 1) - 1,$$

and  $d_{G_{\text{red}}}(x) \geq 2r - 4$  by (1), as required.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $r, b \geq 3$  and  $G \in \text{ERG}(r, b)$ . The (vertex) connectivity of the red graph  $G_{\text{red}}$  satisfies  $\kappa(G_{\text{red}}) \geq r - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose on the contrary that we can find a partition  $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup X$  such that all edges between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are blue and  $0 < |X| \leq r - 2$  ( $X$  is nonempty by Theorem 1). Let  $p - 1$  and  $q - 1$  be the sizes of a maximum blue clique inside  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  respectively. Assume without loss of generality that  $p \leq q$ .

If  $p = 2$ , then  $V_1$  spans a red clique, so  $|V_1| \leq r - 1$ . The red degree of each vertex of  $V_1$  is at most  $|V_1| - 1 + |X| \leq 2r - 4$ . By (2), all these inequalities are equalities; in particular,  $|V_1| = r - 1$ ,  $|X| = r - 2$ , and all edges between  $V_1$  and  $X$  are red. But then  $V_1$  plus any vertex from  $X \neq \emptyset$  spans a red  $K_r$ , a contradiction.

So assume  $p \geq 3$ . We have  $(p - 1) + (q - 1) \leq b - 1$ , and

$$R(r, p) - 1 + R(r, q) - 1 \geq |V_1| + |V_2| = |V(G)| - |X| = R(r, b) - 1 - |X|.$$

It follows from Theorem 2 that  $|X| \geq r - 1$ , a contradiction. ■

**Corollary 4** *Let  $r \geq b \geq 3$  and  $G \in \text{ERG}(r, b)$ . The red graph  $G_{\text{red}}$  is Hamiltonian.*

*Proof.* The Chvátal-Erdős condition [2] states that a graph of order at least 3 has a Hamiltonian cycle if it is  $k$ -connected and does not contain a set of  $k + 1$  independent points. By Theorem 3, this condition is satisfied for  $G_{\text{red}}$  when  $r \geq b \geq 3$ . ■

### 3 Edge Connectivity

**Theorem 5** *Let  $r, b \geq 3$  and  $G \in \text{ERG}(r, b)$ . The edge connectivity of the red graph  $G_{\text{red}}$  satisfies*

$$\lambda(G_{\text{red}}) \geq \min\{\delta(G_{\text{red}}), \kappa(G_{\text{red}}) + r - 3\}. \quad (3)$$

Note that we have the trivial upper bound  $\lambda(G_{\text{red}}) \leq \delta(G_{\text{red}})$ . Our lower bounds for  $\delta(G_{\text{red}})$  and  $\kappa(G_{\text{red}})$  imply  $\lambda(G_{\text{red}}) \geq 2r - 4$ .

*Proof.* For  $r = 3$ , the statement  $\lambda(G_{\text{red}}) \geq \min\{\delta(G_{\text{red}}), \kappa(G_{\text{red}})\} = \kappa(G_{\text{red}})$  is simply the trivial lower bound.

Consider  $r \geq 4$ . Suppose that the claim is not true, that is, we can find a proper partition  $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2$  such that we have at most  $k = \min\{\delta(G_{\text{red}}) - 1, \kappa(G_{\text{red}}) + r - 4\}$  red edges across. Call these red edges  $F$ . Let  $v_i = |V_i|$ . We claim

$v_i \geq \delta(G_{\text{red}}) + 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Indeed, there is a vertex in  $V_i$  whose  $F$ -degree is at most  $\lfloor \frac{k}{v_i} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{\delta(G_{\text{red}})-1}{v_i} \rfloor$ . We have  $v_i - 1 + \lfloor \frac{\delta(G_{\text{red}})-1}{v_i} \rfloor \geq \delta(G_{\text{red}})$ , which routinely implies that  $v_i \geq \delta(G_{\text{red}}) + 1$ . Take  $x_i \in V_i$  not incident to any edge of  $F$ , which is possible since  $v_i \geq \delta(G_{\text{red}}) + 1 > |F|$ .

Let  $X$  be a minimal set of vertices that cover the edge set  $F$ . Clearly  $X$  is a cutset of vertices for  $G_{\text{red}}$  ( $x_i \notin X$  so  $V_i - X \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ) and therefore  $|X| \geq \kappa(G_{\text{red}})$ . By König's theorem for bipartite graphs, there is a matching  $M \subset F$  of size  $|X|$ . Hence  $|F| - |M| \leq k - \kappa(G_{\text{red}}) \leq r - 4$ . As  $F$  consists of a matching along with no more than  $r - 4$  additional edges, there is no red  $K_{r-1}$  that intersects both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ .

Construct  $G'$  from  $G$  as in the proof of Theorem 1. Namely, add a new vertex  $x$ . Color  $xx_1$  and  $xx_2$  blue. For any vertex  $y \in V_i \setminus \{x_i\}$ , color  $xy$  with the color of  $x_iy$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Finally, recolor  $x_1x_2$  red. We have strictly increased the number of vertices and  $G \in \text{ER}G(r, b)$  so we must have a large monochromatic clique in  $G'$ . First, suppose we have a red  $K_r$  in  $G'$ , say on a set  $R$ . This set  $R$  must contain  $x$  because the only red edge added to  $G$ , namely  $x_1x_2$ , cannot appear in a red  $K_r$ . But then  $x_1, x_2 \notin R$ . Moreover,  $R - x$  lies entirely inside either  $V_1$  or  $V_2$ . Suppose that  $R - x \subset V_1$ . But then  $R - x + x_1$  is a red  $r$ -clique in  $G$ , a contradiction.

Next suppose that we have a blue  $K_b \subset G'$ , on a set  $B$ . We have  $x \in B$  and at least one of  $x_1, x_2$  does not belong to  $B$ . Suppose  $x_1 \notin B$ . But then  $B - x + x_1$  spans a blue  $K_b$  in  $G$  (note that all edges between  $x_1$  and  $V_2$  are blue in  $G$  by the definition of  $x_1$ ), a contradiction. ■

## 4 Some Small Extremal Ramsey Graphs

In conclusion, we compare our lower bounds on connectivity with the actual connectivities for some small extremal Ramsey graphs.

$(r, b)$	(3, 3)	(3, 4)	(3, 5)	(3, 6)	(3, 7)	(4, 4)
$R(r, b)$	6	9	14	18	23	18
$ \text{ER}G(r, b) $	1	3	1	7	191	1
$\kappa(G_{\text{red}})$ lower bound	2	2	2	2	2	3
$\kappa(G_{\text{red}})$ actual	2	2	4	4	4,5,6	8
$\lambda(G_{\text{red}})$ lower bound	2	2	2	2	2	4
$\lambda(G_{\text{red}})$ actual	2	2	4	4	4,5,6	8
$\kappa(G_{\text{blue}})$ lower bound	2	3	4	5	6	3
$\kappa(G_{\text{blue}})$ actual	2	4	8	11	15	8
$\lambda(G_{\text{blue}})$ lower bound	2	4	6	8	10	4
$\lambda(G_{\text{blue}})$ actual	2	4	8	11	15	8

Table 1: Lower bounds for connectivity from Theorems 3 and 5 and the actual connectivity for small extremal Ramsey graphs

Let us explain how this table is constructed. Currently, there are 6 pairs  $(r, b)$

with  $3 \leq r \leq b$  such that the graphs in  $ERG(r, b)$  have been completely enumerated; see Brendan McKay's combinatorial data website [3]. Specifically, the extremal Ramsey graph catalog for  $r = 3$  and  $4 \leq b \leq 7$  and  $r = b = 4$  (in addition to the pair  $(r, b) = (3, 3)$ ) was obtained from [3]. This data (in the graph6 format) was processed by McKay's `showg` executable and subsequently checked and analyzed by the Combinatorica package for Mathematica.

For every such pair we checked all extremal  $(r, b)$ -graphs and wrote down the observed edge and vertex connectivities into the table rows marked 'actual.' As it turns out, the pair  $(r, b) = (3, 7)$  is the only pair from our list where different extremal  $(r, b)$ -graphs may have different connectivities. Of the 191 graphs in  $ERG(3, 7)$ , 3 are red 4-connected, 178 are red 5-connected and 10 are red 6-connected (while the edge connectivity happens to coincide with the vertex connectivity).

For the ease of reference, we have also included our lower bounds,  $\kappa(G_{\text{red}}) \geq r - 1$  and  $\lambda(G_{\text{red}}) \geq 2r - 4$  of Theorem 3 and the comment immediately after Theorem 5, respectively. As expected, there is certainly room for improvement in the lower bounds for connectivity, even for these small graphs.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Tom Bohman and Alan Frieze for helpful discussions. We thank David Penman for useful comments.

## References

- [1] S. A. Burr, P. Erdős, R. J. Faudree and R. H. Schelp, On the difference between consecutive Ramsey numbers, *Utilitas Math.* 35 (1989), 115–118.
- [2] V. Chvátal and P. Erdős, A note on Hamiltonian circuits, *Discrete Math.* 2 (1972), 111–113.
- [3] B. McKay, *Combinatorial Data* (website), <http://cs.anu.edu.au/people/bdm/data/>.
- [4] D. B. Penman, personal communication.
- [5] S. P. Radziszowski, Small Ramsey numbers, *Elec. J. Combin.* Dynamic Survey 1, revision #11 August 2006, <http://www.combinatorics.org>.
- [6] S. P. Radziszowski and D. L. Kreher, On  $R(3, k)$  Ramsey Graphs; Theoretical and Computational Results, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 4 (1988), 37–52.
- [7] X. Xiaodong, X. Zheng and S. P. Radziszowski, A constructive approach for the lower bounds on the Ramsey numbers  $R(s, t)$ , *J. Graph Theory* 47 (2004), 231–239.