

# Edge-Face Chromatic Number of Plane Graphs with High Maximum Degree\*

Wang Weifan      Zhang Kemin

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University,  
Nanjing, 210093 P.R.China

## Abstract

The edge-face chromatic number  $\chi_{ef}(G)$  of a plane graph  $G$  is the smallest number of colors assigned to the edges and faces of  $G$  so that any two adjacent or incident elements have different colors. Borodin(1994) proved that  $\Delta(G) \leq \chi_{ef}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$  for each plane graph  $G$  with  $\Delta(G) \geq 10$  and the bounds are sharp. The main result of this paper is to give a sufficient and necessary condition for  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$  if  $\Delta(G) \geq |G| - 2$ .

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all graphs are finite simple plane graphs. Let  $G$  be a plane graph, whose vertex set, edge set, face set, vertex number, edge number, maximum degree and minimum degree of vertices are denoted by  $V(G)$ ,  $E(G)$ ,  $F(G)$ ,  $p(G)$ ,  $q(G)$ ,  $\Delta(G)$  and  $\delta(G)$  respectively. Let  $G[S]$  denote the induced subgraph of  $G$  on  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , and  $N_G(u)$  the neighbor set of a vertex  $u$  in  $G$ . Moreover set  $N_G^c(u) = V(G) - (N_G(u) \cup \{u\})$ . A vertex (or face) of degree  $k$  is said to be a  $k$ -vertex (or  $k$ -face) of  $G$ . A  $n$ -face  $f$  whose boundary, denoted by  $b(f)$ , contains the vertices  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  in some order is written as  $f = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$ . Let  $V_k(G)$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, \Delta = \Delta(G)$ ) denote the set of  $k$ -vertices of  $G$ . If  $C_k$  is a cycle of length  $k$  in a connected plane graph  $G$ , then let  $V_{int}(C_k)$  and  $V_{ext}(C_k)$  denote the sets of vertices in  $G$  contained in the interior and exterior of  $C_k$  respectively. We say that  $C_k$  is a  $k$ -separating cycle of  $G$  if  $V_{int}(C_k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $V_{ext}(C_k) \neq \emptyset$ . In particular,  $C_3$  is called a separating triangle. A graph  $G$  is called an  $h_k$ -graph if  $\Delta(G) = p(G) - k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

A plane graph  $G$  is  $k$ -edge-face colorable if the elements of  $E(G) \cup F(G)$  can be colored with  $k$  colors so that any two distinct adjacent or incident elements receive different colors. The edge-face chromatic number  $\chi_{ef}(G)$  is defined as the minimum number  $k$  for which  $G$  is  $k$ -edge-face colorable.

---

\*The project supported by NSFC(No.19471037) and NSFJC.

Clearly,  $\chi_{ef}(G) \geq \Delta(G)$ . On the other hand, Melnikov [4] conjectured that  $\chi_{ef}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 3$ . Without using the Four-Color Theorem, this conjecture was proved for  $\Delta \leq 3$  [3, 5] and for  $\Delta = 4$  [6]. Borodin [2] showed that  $\chi_{ef}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$  for  $\Delta(G) \geq 10$  and the bound is sharp. Recently, using the Four-Color Theorem and Vizing's Theorem, Waller [7] proved the conjecture to be true for all plane graphs. Thus the main problem in this area is to determine the precise bounds of  $\chi_{ef}(G)$  for  $3 \leq \Delta(G) \leq 9$  or to give a complete classification of plane graphs according to their edge-face chromatic numbers. In this paper, we present a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$  if  $\Delta(G) \geq |V(G)| - 2$  and  $p(G) \geq 7$ .

In what follows, a  $k$ -edge-face coloring of a plane graph  $G$  is abbreviated to a  $k$ -EF coloring. Let  $\sigma(x)$  denote the color assigned to the element  $x \in E(G) \cup F(G)$  under a given coloring  $\sigma$ , and for  $u \in V(G)$ , let  $C_\sigma(u)$  denote the set of colors which are colored on the edges incident with  $u$  under  $\sigma$ . For  $S \subseteq \cup E(G) \cup F(G)$ , we write  $S \rightarrow \alpha$  to express that all the elements of  $S$  are simultaneously colored with the color  $\alpha$ . And  $S[m]$  denotes that at most  $m$  colors can not be used when coloring all the elements of  $S$  with the same color. In particular,  $y[m] = S[m]$  if  $S = \{y\}$ . Other terms and notations not defined in this paper can be found in [1].

## 2 PRELIMINARY

**Lemma 2.1** *If  $G$  is an  $h_k$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 3k + 3$  ( $k \geq 1$ ), then  $|V_\Delta(G)| \leq 2$ .*

**Proof** By contradiction. Suppose that  $|V_\Delta(G)| \geq 3$ . Then there are  $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in V_\Delta(G)$  such that  $d_G(u_i) = p(G) - k$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Thus

$$|N_G^c(u_i)| = p(G) - 1 - d_G(u_i) = k - 1.$$

Then we have

$$|N_G^c(u_1)| + |N_G^c(u_2)| + |N_G^c(u_3)| = 3k - 3.$$

However, by  $p(G) \geq 3k + 3$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &|V(G) - ((\bigcup_{i=1}^3 N_G^c(u_i)) \cup \{u_1, u_2, u_3\})| \\ &\geq |V(G)| - |(\bigcup_{i=1}^3 N_G^c(u_i)) \cup \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}| \\ &\geq p(G) - (3k - 3) - 3 \geq 3k + 3 - 3k = 3. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3$  are simultaneously adjacent to at least three vertices, say  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$ , of  $G$ . It follows that

$$K_{3,3} \subseteq G[\{u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1, v_2, v_3\}],$$

which contradicts the planarity of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2** Let  $G$  be an  $h_k$ -graph of order  $p$ . Then

- (1)  $|V_{p-1}(G)| \leq 2$  if  $k = 1$  and  $p(G) \geq 6$ .
- (2)  $|V_{p-2}(G)| \leq 2$  if  $k = 2$  and  $p(G) \geq 9$ .

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $G$  be an  $h_1$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 3$  which contains two  $\Delta$ -vertices  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . Then

- (1)  $2 \leq d_G(u) \leq 4$  for each  $u \in V(G) \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}$ .
- (2)  $3 \leq d_G(f) \leq 4$  for each  $f \in F(G)$ .

**Proof** Obvious.

For  $i \geq 1$ , an  $h_i$ -graph is said to be an  $h_i^*$ -graph if there are a vertex  $u \in V_\Delta(G)$  and a face  $f \in F(G)$  such that all the edges incident to  $u$  lie on the boundary of  $f$ . Let  $x$  be a vertex of a connected graph  $G$ , and let the components of  $G - x$  have vertex sets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then the induced subgraphs  $G_i = G[V_i \cup \{x\}]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are called the  $x$ -components of  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $G$  be an  $h_1$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 2$  and let  $w$  be a  $\Delta$ -vertex of  $G$ . Then  $G$  is an  $h_1^*$ -graph iff (1) each  $w$ -component of  $G$  is either  $K_3$  or  $K_2$ ; and (2)  $G$  does not contain a separating triangle.

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $G$  be an  $h_2$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 5$  and a unique  $\Delta$ -vertex  $w$  and let  $N_G^c(w) = \{x\}$ . Then  $G$  is an  $h_2^*$ -graph iff (1)  $G$  contains no separating cycle through  $x$  and  $w$ , and (2)  $G - x$  is an  $h_1^*$ -graph.

It is not difficult to prove the above two lemmas. In fact, an  $h_1^*$ -graph is an outerplane graph and an  $h_2^*$ -graph is a 1-outerplane graph (i.e. after removing at most one vertex it becomes an outerplane graph).

**Lemma 2.6** Let  $G$  be a  $h_2$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 8$  and a unique  $\Delta$ -vertex  $w$ . Let  $N_G^c(w) = \{x\}$  with  $d_G(x) \geq 2$ . Then at least one of the following cases is true for  $G$ :

- (1) There is a 1-vertex  $u$  adjacent to  $w$ .
- (2) There is a 2-vertex  $u$  on a 3-face  $uwv$ .
- (3) There is a 3-vertex  $u$  with  $N_G(u) = \{w, v_1, v_2\}$  such that  $uwv_1, uv_1v_2 \in F(G)$ .

**Proof** Let  $G$  be an  $h_2$ -graph satisfying the conditions of the lemma. Suppose that the vertices of  $N_G(w)$  are put in the order  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$ , where  $m = d_G(w) = \Delta(G) = p - 2$ . By  $wx \notin E(G)$ , we have  $N_G(x) \subseteq N_G(w)$ . Since  $G$  has a unique  $\Delta$ -vertex, it follows that  $N_G(x) \neq N_G(w)$  and hence  $N_G(w) \setminus N_G(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $N_G(x)$  partitions  $N_G(w) \setminus N_G(x)$  into  $n$  nonempty maximal subsets  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ , where  $1 \leq n \leq d_G(w) - d_G(x)$ . Since  $S_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $S_1 \subseteq N_G(w) \setminus N_G(x)$ , we let that  $S_1 = \{u_{j+1}, u_{j+2}, \dots, u_{j+t}\}$ , where  $t = |S_1| \geq 1$  and the suffixes are taken modulo  $m$ . From the maximality of  $S_i$ , it follows  $u_j, u_{j+t+1} \in N_G(x)$ . This implies that the interior of the 4-cycle  $xu_jwu_{j+t+1}x$  does not contain the vertices in  $N_G(x)$  and the edges incident to  $x$ . If there is no separating triangle inside  $xu_jwu_{j+t+1}x$ , then (1) holds when some  $u_k \in S_1$  has degree one, (2) holds when some  $u_k \in S_1$  has degree two and (3) occurs when all the vertices of  $S_1$  have degree three. Otherwise let  $C = uw_{j+s}u_{j+t}w$  be a separating triangle inside  $xu_jwu_{j+t+1}x$  with as few vertices in

$V_{int}(C)$  as possible, where  $u_{j+s}, u_{j+l} \in \{u_j, u_{j+1}, \dots, u_{j+t+1}\}$ ,  $2 \leq l-s \leq t$ . Observing the internal vertices  $u_{j+s+1}, u_{j+s+2}, \dots, u_{j+l-1}$  of  $C$ , we can similarly get (1), (2) or (3). The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $G$  be an  $h_1$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 2$  and let  $w$  be a  $\Delta$ -vertex of  $G$ . Then at least one of the following cases is true for  $G$ :*

- (1)  $\delta(G) = 1$ .
- (2) *There is a 2-vertex  $u$  on a 3-face  $uwv$ .*
- (3) *There is a 3-vertex  $u$  with  $N_G(u) = \{w, v_1, v_2\}$  such that  $uwv_1, uv_1v_2 \in F(G)$ .*

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.6. In order to prove the following theorem, we introduce two notations. Let  $G$  be an  $h_1$ -graph with a unique  $\Delta$ -vertex  $w$ . We denote by  $E_{in}^w(G)$  the set of inner edges in  $G$  incident to  $w$  and let  $m_w(G) = |E_{in}^w(G)|$ . An edge is called an inner edge if it does not lie on the boundary of the unbounded face of  $G$ . Obviously,  $E_{in}^w(G) \subseteq E_{in}(G)$ , and  $G$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph iff  $m_w(G) \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 2.8** *Let  $G$  be an  $h_1$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 7$  and  $w$  a  $\Delta$ -vertex of  $G$ . If  $G$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph, then at least one of the following cases is true for  $G$ .*

- (1) *There is a 1-vertex  $u$  adjacent to  $w$  such that  $H_1 = G - u$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph.*
- (2) *There is a 2-vertex  $u$  on a 3-face  $uwv$  such that  $H_2 = G - u$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph.*
- (3) *There is a 3-vertex  $u$  with  $N_G(u) = \{w, v_1, v_2\}$  and  $uwv_1, uv_1v_2 \in F(G)$  such that  $H_3$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph, where  $H_3 = G - u$  if  $v_1v_2 \in E(G)$  and  $H_3 = G - u + v_1v_2$  otherwise.*

**Proof** Let  $G$  be an  $h_1$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 7$  and not an  $h_1^*$ -graph. By Corollary 2.2,  $1 \leq |V_\Delta(G)| \leq 2$ . We consider two cases below:

Case 1  $|V_\Delta(G)| = 2$ . Suppose that  $V_\Delta(G) = \{w_1, w_2\}$ . Then  $w_1w_2 \in E(G)$  and  $uw_1, uw_2 \in E(G)$  for each  $u \in V(G) \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}$ . Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  denote the vertices in  $V(G) \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}$  which are arranged on one side of the edge  $w_1w_2$  such that the 3-cycle  $w_1w_2v_jw_1$  is contained in all 3-cycles  $w_1w_2v_s w_1$ ,  $j+1 \leq s \leq k$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ , and symmetrically  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$  on the other side of  $w_1w_2$  such that the 3-cycle  $w_1w_2y_l w_1$  is contained in all 3-cycles  $w_1w_2y_l w_1$ ,  $i+1 \leq l \leq m$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ . Thus  $k+m = p(G) - 2 \geq 5$  and  $k, m \geq 0$ . Assume that  $k \geq m$ . Hence  $k \geq \lceil \frac{1}{2}(p(G) - 2) \rceil \geq 3$ . Since  $2 \leq d_G(v_1) \leq 3$ , we can form  $H_2 = G - v_1$  if  $d_G(v_1) = 2$  and  $H_3 = G - v_1$  if  $d_G(v_1) = 3$ . Then  $H_j$  ( $j = 2, 3$ ) is an  $h_1$ -graph with two  $\Delta$ -vertices  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  and  $v_2w_i \in E_{in}^w(H_j)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Hence  $H_2$  or  $H_3$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph.

Case 2  $|V_\Delta(G)| = 1$ . Let  $V_\Delta(G) = \{w\}$ . Since  $G$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph,  $m_w(G) \geq 1$ . There are two subcases:

2.1  $m_w(G) \geq 2$ . By Lemma 2.7, we consider three possibilities:

- (i) There is a 1-vertex  $u$  such that  $uw \in E(G)$ . We form  $H_1 = G - u$ .
  - (ii) There is a 2-vertex  $u$  on a 3-face  $uwv$ . We form  $H_2 = G - u$ .
  - (iii) There is a 3-vertex  $u$  with  $N_G(u) = \{w, v_1, v_2\}$  such that  $uwv_1, uv_1v_2 \in F(G)$ .
- In this case, we put  $H_3 = G - u$  if  $v_1v_2 \in E(G)$  and  $H_3 = G - u + v_1v_2$  if  $v_1v_2 \notin E(G)$ .

Obviously  $H_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) is an  $h_1$ -graph with  $p(H_i) \geq 6$  and  $w \in V_\Delta(H_i)$ . By Corollary 2.2,  $1 \leq |V_\Delta(H_i)| \leq 2$ . First suppose that  $|V_\Delta(H_i)| = 1$ . Note that

$E_{in}^w(H_i) \subseteq E_{in}^w(G)$  and  $m_w(H_i) \geq m_w(G) - 1 \geq 1$ . It follows that  $H_i$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph. Next let  $|V_\Delta(H_i)| = 2$ . Referring to the proof of Case 1, we deduce that for each  $x \in V_\Delta(H_i)$ ,  $E_{in}^x(H_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $H_i$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph.

2.2  $m_w(G) = 1$ . Let  $e^* = wx \in E_{in}^w(G)$  and let  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k$  be the  $w$ -components of  $G$ . We claim that  $k \geq 2$ . In fact, if  $k = 1$ , then  $w$  is not a cut vertex of  $G$  and so  $G$  is 2-connected. Thus at most two edges incident to  $w$  are not inner edges of  $G$ . It follows that  $m_w(G) \geq d_G(w) - 3 = p(G) - 1 - 3 \geq 3$ , a contradiction. Note that each  $G_i$  is an  $h_1$ -graph with  $w$  as a  $\Delta$ -vertex. In particular, when  $|V(G_i)| \geq 3$ ,  $G_i$  is 2-connected. If there is some  $G_j$  such that  $|V(G_j)| \geq 5$ , then by  $E_{in}^w(G_j) \subseteq E_{in}^w(G)$ , it follows that  $m_w(G) \geq m_w(G_j) \geq |V(G_j)| - 3 \geq 2$ , also a contradiction. Thus  $|V(G_i)| \leq 4$  for all  $i$ . In addition, there exists at most one  $w$ -component of  $G$  having four vertices. In fact, if there are two such  $w$ -components, say  $G_i$  and  $G_j$ , then  $m_w(G) \geq m_w(G_i) + m_w(G_j) \geq 1 + 1 = 2$ , a contradiction. Hence we may suppose that  $2 \leq |V(G_1)| \leq 4$ ,  $2 \leq |V(G_i)| \leq 3$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$ . Now the discussion can be divided into two cases.

2.2.1  $|V(G_1)| = 4$ . Since  $G_1$  is a 2-connected  $h_1$ -graph with  $w$  as a  $\Delta$ -vertex,  $m_w(G_1) \geq |V(G_1)| - 3 \geq 1$ . On the other hand,  $m_w(G_1) \leq m_w(G)$  is obvious. Therefore  $m_w(G_1) = m_w(G) = 1$ . This implies that  $e^* \in E_{in}^w(G_1)$  and so  $x \in V(G_1)$ . We claim that  $V(G_1)$  can not be contained in any separating cycle of  $G$ . Suppose that the assertion is false, then for every  $u \in V(G_1) \setminus \{w\}$ ,  $uw \in E_{in}^w(G)$ . So  $m_w(G) \geq |V(G_1) \setminus \{w\}| \geq 3$ , a contradiction. Now, by  $k \geq 2$ , we may choose a  $w$ -component of  $G$ , say  $G_t$  ( $2 \leq t \leq k$ ), which is not contained any separating cycle of  $G$ . Applying Lemma 2.7 for  $G_t$ , we can form either  $H_1$  or  $H_2$  with  $e^* \in E(H_i)$ ,  $i = 1$  or  $2$ . Thus  $H_i$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph, the lemma is shown in this case.

2.2.2  $|V(G_1)| \leq 3$ . Now each  $w$ -component of  $G$  is either  $K_3$  or  $K_2$ . Thus  $1 \leq d_G(u) \leq 2$  for each  $u \in V(G) \setminus \{w\}$ . If  $d_G(x) = 2$ , let  $y \in N_G(x) \setminus \{w\}$ . Then both  $wy$  and  $wx$  must simultaneously be inner edges of  $G$ , thus  $m_w(G) \geq 2$ , a contradiction. Hence we must have  $d_G(x) = 1$ . It follows that there is  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $G_s = G[\{e^*\}]$ . Since  $e^* \in E_{in}^w(G)$ ,  $G_s$  must be contained in some 3-cycle  $C$  of  $G$ . Clearly,  $C = G_{j_0}$  ( $1 \leq j_0 \leq k$ ). We claim that  $G_{j_0}$  can not be contained in other  $w$ -components of  $G$ , since otherwise we can similarly deduce that  $m_w(G) \geq 3$ . By  $p(G) \geq 7$ , we may select a  $w$ -component  $G_t$  ( $t \neq s, j_0$ ) which is not contained in any separating cycle of  $G$ . Then the problem can be reduced to 2.2.1. The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9** *Let  $G$  be an  $h_2$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 8$  that is not an  $h_2^*$ -graph. If  $G$  contains a unique  $\Delta$ -vertex  $w$  and  $N_G^c(w) = \{x\}$  with  $d_G(x) \geq 2$ , then at least one of the following cases is true for  $G$ :*

- (1) *There is a 1-vertex  $u$  adjacent to  $w$  such that  $H_1 = G - u$  is not an  $h_2^*$ -graph.*
- (2) *There is a 2-vertex  $u$  on a 3-face  $wxy$  such that  $H_2 = G - u$  is not an  $h_2^*$ -graph.*
- (3) *There is a 3-vertex  $u$  with  $N_G(u) = \{w, v_1, v_2\}$  and  $wv_1, wv_2 \in F(G)$  such that  $H_3$  is not an  $h_2^*$ -graph, where  $H_3 = G - u$  if  $v_1v_2 \in E(G)$  and  $H_3 = G - u + v_1v_2$  otherwise.*

By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, and using a method similar to that of the proof of Lemma

2.8, we can establish this lemma.

**Lemma 2.10** *Let  $G$  be an  $h_2$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 9$  and two adjacent  $\Delta$ -vertices  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . Then at least one of the following cases holds for  $G$ :*

- (1) *There is a 2-vertex  $u \in N_G(w_1) \cap N_G(w_2)$  such that  $uw_1w_2 \in F(G)$ .*
- (2) *There is a 3-cycle  $yw_1w_2$  such that its interior (or exterior) contains only a vertex  $u$  and three edges  $uy, uw_1$  and  $uw_2$  and  $d_G(y) \leq 6$ .*
- (3) *There are three vertices  $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in N_G(w_1) \cap N_G(w_2)$  such that  $d_G(u_1) \leq 5$ ,  $d_G(u_2) \leq 4$ ,  $d_G(u_3) \leq 5$  and the interior (or exterior) of the 4-cycle  $u_1w_1u_3w_2u_1$  contains only  $u_2$  and the edges incident to  $u_2$ .*

**Proof** By the definition of  $h_2$ -graph, we suppose that  $N_G^c(w_i) = \{x_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Consider the graph  $H = G - x_1 - x_2$ . If  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , then  $p(H) = p(G) - 2$  and  $\Delta(H) = \Delta(G) - 1 = p(G) - 2 - 1 = p(H) - 1$ . If  $x_1 = x_2$ , then  $p(H) = p(G) - 1$  and  $\Delta(H) = \Delta(G) = p(G) - 2 = p(H) - 1$ . This means that  $H$  always is an  $h_1$ -graph with  $p(H) \geq 7$ . Obviously  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are two  $\Delta$ -vertices of  $H$ . Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  denote the vertices in  $V(H) \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}$  located on one side of the edge  $w_1w_2$  such that the 3-cycle  $w_1w_2v_jw_1$  is contained in all 3-cycles  $w_1w_2v_s w_1$ ,  $j + 1 \leq s \leq k$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ , and  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$  on the other side of  $w_1w_2$  such that the 3-cycle  $w_1w_2y_iw_1$  is contained in all 3-cycles  $w_1w_2y_l w_1$ ,  $i + 1 \leq l \leq m$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ . Thus  $k + m + 1 = \Delta(H) = p(H) - 1 \geq 6$  and  $k, m \geq 0$ . By virtue of Lemma 2.3,  $2 \leq d_H(u) \leq 4$  for each  $u \in V(H) \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}$ . Thus  $d_G(u) \leq d_H(u) + 2 \leq 6$ , and  $d_G(u) = 6$  iff  $d_H(u) = 4$  and  $ux_1, ux_2 \in E(G)$ . Furthermore, each face  $f$  of  $H$  has degree either 3 or 4 and  $b(f)$  contains at most two vertices in  $V(H) \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}$ . Noting that in  $G$   $x_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) must lie inside some face  $f_i$  of  $H$ , we deduce that  $d_G(x_i) \leq 3$ ,  $|V_6(G)| \leq 2$ , and  $uv \in E(G)$  and  $\{f_1, f_2\} = \{uvw_1, uvw_2\}$  if there do exist two 6-vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ .

Now suppose that (1) and (2) of the lemma are both false, we prove that (3) must hold. Let  $m \leq k$ . We distinguish three cases.

Case 1  $m = 0$ . Then  $k = \Delta(H) - 1 \geq 5$ . Since both (1) and (2) do not hold, it follows that one of  $x_1$  or  $x_2$  lies inside the 3-cycle  $w_1w_2v_2w_1$  and the other inside some face in  $H$  with  $v_k$  as a boundary vertex. Taking  $u_1 = v_2$ ,  $u_2 = v_3$  and  $u_3 = v_4$ , we obtain (3).

Case 2  $m = 1$ . In this case,  $k = \Delta(H) - 2 \geq 4$ . With the same reason, one of  $x_1$  or  $x_2$  must lie inside the 3-cycle  $w_1w_2v_2w_1$  and the other inside a face in  $H$  with  $y_1$  as a boundary vertex. Again taking  $u_1 = v_2$ ,  $u_2 = v_3$  and  $u_3 = v_4$ , we deduce (3).

Case 3  $k \geq m \geq 2$ . Similarly, one of  $x_1$  or  $x_2$  must lie inside the 3-cycle  $w_1w_2v_2w_1$  and the other inside the 3-cycle  $w_1w_2y_2w_1$ . Thus three consecutive vertices in  $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k, y_m, y_{m-1}, \dots, y_2\}$  satisfy the requirement of (3). The lemma is proved.  $\square$

### 3 MAIN RESULTS

**Lemma 3.1** *If  $G$  is either an  $h_1^*$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 5$  or an  $h_2^*$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 6$ , then  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$ .*

**Theorem 3.2** *If  $G$  is an  $h_1$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 6$ , then  $\Delta(G) \leq \chi_{ef}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ ; and  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$  iff  $G$  is an  $h_1^*$ -graph.*

**Proof** We use induction on  $p(G)$ . By enumeration, we can prove the theorem holds for  $p(G) = 6$ . Assume that it is true for all  $h_1$ -graphs with fewer than  $p$  vertices, and let  $G$  be an  $h_1$ -graph of order  $p$  ( $\geq 8$ ). If  $G$  is an  $h_1^*$ -graph, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$ . If  $G$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph, we shall prove  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G)$ . Let  $w$  denote a  $\Delta$ -vertex of  $G$  and then consider three cases by Lemma 2.8.

Case 1 There is a 1-vertex  $u$  adjacent to  $w$  such that  $H = G - u$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph. Then  $\Delta(H) = \Delta(G) - 1$ , and  $H$  is a  $h_1$ -graph with  $p - 1$  vertices. By the induction assumption,  $\chi_{ef}(H) = \Delta(H)$ . Thus we first give a  $(\Delta(G) - 1)$ -EF coloring  $\lambda$  of  $H$  with a color set  $C$ . Then we assign a new color  $\beta \notin C$  to the edge  $uw$  in  $G$ . A  $\Delta(G)$ -EF coloring  $\sigma$  of  $G$  is constructed.

Case 2 There is a 2-vertex  $u$  on a 3-face  $uwv$  such that  $H = G - u$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph. A similar discussion yields a  $(\Delta(G) - 1)$ -EF coloring  $\lambda$  of  $H$  with a color set  $C$ . Based on  $\lambda$ , we color the edge  $uw$  in  $G$  with a new color  $\beta \notin C$ . If  $d_G(y) \leq \Delta(G) - 2$ , then the edge  $vy$  can be properly colored because it has at most  $\Delta(G) - 1$  color restrictions. Otherwise, since  $\Delta(G) = p(G) - 1 \geq 7$ , there must exist a vertex  $v \in N_H(y) \setminus \{w\}$  such that  $\lambda(vy)$  differs from  $\lambda(f_0)$ , where  $f_0$  is the face of  $H$  with  $yw$  as a boundary edge, which is subdivided into the union of  $uyw$  and a face in  $G$ . In this case, we recolor the edge  $vy$  with  $\beta$  and color  $uy$  with  $\lambda(vy)$ . Afterward we put  $uwv[5]$ .

Case 3 There is a 3-vertex  $u$  with  $N_G(u) = \{w, v_1, v_2\}$  and  $uvw_1, uvw_2 \in F(G)$  such that  $H$  is not an  $h_1^*$ -graph, where  $H = G - u$  if  $v_1v_2 \in E(G)$  and  $H = G - u + v_1v_2$  otherwise. It follows from Corollary 2.2 that  $\min\{d_G(v_1), d_G(v_2)\} \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ , say  $d_G(v_1) \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ . Similarly,  $H$  has a  $(\Delta(G) - 1)$ -EF coloring  $\lambda$  with a color set  $C$ . We form a  $\Delta(G)$ -EF coloring  $\sigma$  of  $G$  by considering two subcases:

3.1  $v_1v_2 \in E(G)$ . Based on  $\lambda$ , we color both  $uw$  and  $v_1v_2$  with a new color  $\beta \notin C$  and then put:  $uv_2[\Delta - 1]$ ,  $uv_1[\Delta - 1]$ ,  $uvw_1[4]$ ,  $uvw_2[5]$ ,  $uv_1v_2[6]$ .

3.2  $v_1v_2 \notin E(G)$ . Let  $f_0$  denote the face of  $G$  with  $uv_1$  and  $uv_2$  as two boundary edges. If  $d_G(v_1) \leq \Delta(G) - 2$ , based on  $\lambda$ , we can color both the edge  $uw$  and the face  $f_0$  with a new color  $\beta \notin C$ . Then we put:  $uv_2[\Delta - 1]$ ,  $uv_1[\Delta - 1]$ ,  $uvw_1[5]$ ,  $uvw_2[6]$ . If  $d_G(v_1) = \Delta(G) - 1$ , by  $\Delta(G) \geq 7$ , we can find a vertex  $y \in N_G(v_1) \setminus \{u, w\}$  such that  $\lambda(yv_1) \neq \lambda(f_0), \lambda(v_1v_2)$ . Now we put:  $\{uw, yv_1, f_0\} \rightarrow \beta \notin C$ ,  $uv_2 \rightarrow \lambda(v_1v_2)$ ,  $uv_1 \rightarrow \lambda(yv_1)$ ,  $uvw_1[4]$ ,  $uvw_2[5]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3** *If  $G$  is a 2-connected  $h_1$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 6$ , then  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G)$ .*

**Corollary 3.4** *If  $G$  is an  $h_1$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 6$  and contains two  $\Delta$ -vertices, then  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G)$ .*

**Theorem 3.5** *If  $G$  is an  $h_2$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 7$  and contains two adjacent  $\Delta$ -vertices, then  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G)$ .*

**Proof** Obviously we need only prove the bound  $\chi_{ef}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ . We proceed by induction on  $p(G)$ . For  $p(G) = 7, 8$ , the theorem follows from enumeration. Assume that it is true for each  $h_2$ -graph with fewer than  $p$  vertices and two adjacent  $\Delta$ -vertices. Let  $G$  be a graph satisfying the conditions of the theorem and  $|V(G)| = p \geq 9$ . Thus  $\Delta(G) = p - 2 \geq 7$ . By Lemma 2.10, we have three possibilities.

Case 1 There is a 2-vertex  $u \in N_G(w_1) \cap N_G(w_2)$  such that  $uw_1w_2 \in F(G)$ . Form the graph  $H = G - u$ . Let  $f$  denote the face of  $G$  with  $u$  as a boundary vertex and  $f \neq uw_1w_2$  and let  $f_0$  denote the face of  $H$  which is subdivided into the union of  $f$  and  $uw_1w_2$  in  $G$ . Since  $H$  is an  $h_2$ -graph with two adjacent  $\Delta$ -vertices  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  and  $\Delta(H) = \Delta(G) - 1$ , by the induction assumption,  $H$  has a  $(\Delta(G) - 1)$ -EF coloring  $\lambda$  with a color set  $C$ . By  $\Delta(G) - 1 \geq 6$ , there must exist a vertex  $x \in N_H(w_1) \setminus \{u, w_2\}$  such that  $\lambda(xw_1) \neq \lambda(f_0)$ . Based on  $\lambda$ , we construct a  $\Delta(G)$ -EF coloring  $\sigma$  of  $G$  as follows:  $\{uw_2, xw_1\} \rightarrow \beta \notin C$ ,  $uw_1 \rightarrow \lambda(xw_1)$ ,  $f \rightarrow \lambda(f_0)$ ,  $uw_1w_2[5]$ .

Case 2 There is a 3-cycle  $yw_1w_2$  such that its interior (or exterior) contains only a vertex  $u$  and three edges  $uy, uw_1$  and  $uw_2$  and  $d_G(y) \leq 6$ . Let  $H = G - u$  and form a  $(\Delta(G) - 1)$ -EF coloring  $\lambda$  of  $H$  with a color set  $C$ . Based on  $\lambda$ , we further put:  $\{yw_1, uw_2\} \rightarrow \beta \notin C$ ,  $uw_1 \rightarrow \lambda(yw_1)$ ,  $uy[6]$ ,  $uyw_1[4]$ ,  $uyw_2[5]$ ,  $uw_1w_2[6]$ .

Case 3 There are three vertices  $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in N_G(w_1) \cap N_G(w_2)$  such that  $d_G(u_1) \leq 5$ ,  $d_G(u_2) \leq 4$ ,  $d_G(u_3) \leq 5$  and the interior (or exterior) of the 4-cycle  $u_1w_1u_3w_2u_1$  contains only  $u_2$  and the edges incident to  $u_2$ . Again let  $H = G - u$  and, by the induction assumption,  $H$  has a  $(\Delta(G) - 1)$ -EF coloring  $\lambda$  with a color set  $C$ . Based on  $\lambda$ , we can form a  $\Delta(G)$ -EF coloring  $\sigma$  of  $G$  as follows: first color  $u_2w_2$  and  $w_1u_3$  with a new color  $\beta \notin C$  and then color  $u_2w_1$  with  $\lambda(w_1u_3)$ . Further there exist some subcases.

If  $u_1u_2, u_2u_3 \in E(G)$ , we put:  $u_1u_2[6]$ ,  $u_2u_3[6]$ ,  $u_1u_2w_1[4]$ ,  $u_1u_2w_2[5]$ ,  $u_2u_3w_2[5]$ ,  $u_2u_3w_1[6]$ .

If  $u_1u_2 \notin E(G)$  and  $u_2u_3 \in E(G)$  (for the converse case, we can give a similar proof), we put:  $u_2u_3[5]$ ,  $u_1w_1u_2w_2 \rightarrow \lambda(w_1u_3w_2u_1)$ ,  $u_2u_3w_1[5]$ ,  $u_2u_3w_2[6]$ .

If  $u_1u_2, u_2u_3 \notin E(G)$ , we put:  $u_1w_1u_2w_2 \rightarrow \lambda(w_1u_3w_2u_1)$ ,  $w_1u_3w_2u_2[6]$ . Now we have proved the theorem.  $\square$

Let  $C = x_1x_2 \cdots x_{p-2}x_1$  be a cycle of length  $p - 2 (\geq 3)$ . Add a new vertex  $u$  to the interior of  $C$  and another  $v$  to the exterior respectively, and then join both  $u$  and  $v$  to each  $x_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, p - 2$ ). Denote the resulting graph by  $\widetilde{W}_p$ .

It is easily seen that  $\widetilde{W}_p$  is an  $h_2$ -graph with two nonadjacent  $\Delta$ -vertices. Moreover every  $h_2$ -graph  $G$  containing two nonadjacent  $\Delta$ -vertices can be induced from  $\widetilde{W}_p$  by removing some edges in  $E(C)$ , where  $p = |V(G)|$ .

**Theorem 3.6** *If  $G$  is an  $h_2$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 7$  and contains two nonadjacent  $\Delta$ -vertices, then  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G)$ .*

**Proof** Given  $p = |V(G)| \geq 7$ , we first form a  $(p - 2)$ -EF coloring  $\lambda$  of  $\widetilde{W}_p$ . Let  $0, 1, \dots, p - 3$  denote  $p - 2$  colors and suppose that the following suffixes are taken



modulo  $p-2$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-2$ , we put:  $vx_i \rightarrow i-1$ ,  $ux_i \rightarrow i-2$ ,  $x_i x_{i+1} \rightarrow i+1$ ,  $ux_i x_{i+1} \rightarrow i$ ,  $vx_i x_{i+1} \rightarrow i+2$ .

It is easily checked that  $\lambda$  is a  $(p-2)$ -EF coloring of  $\widetilde{W}_p$  with the property that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-2$ , the color  $\lambda(vx_i x_{i+1})$  differs from each of  $\lambda(ux_i x_{i+1})$ ,  $\lambda(ux_{i-1} x_i)$ ,  $\lambda(ux_{i+1} x_{i+2})$ ,  $\lambda(ux_i)$  and  $\lambda(ux_{i+1})$ .

Next, according to the above discussion, we have  $G \subseteq \widetilde{W}_p$  with  $\Delta(G) = p(G) - 2 = p - 2$ . Thus, based on  $\lambda$ , a  $\Delta(G)$ -EF coloring  $\sigma$  of  $G$  is formed as follows: for each edge  $e = x_i x_{i+1} \in E(\widetilde{W}_p) \setminus E(G)$ , we put  $\sigma(vx_i ux_{i+1}) = \lambda(vx_i x_{i+1})$ . The other edges and faces of  $G$  are colored with the same colors as in  $\lambda$ . So we prove that  $\chi_{ef}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ . But  $\chi_{ef}(G) \geq \Delta(G)$  is trivial. Therefore  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7** *If  $G$  is an  $h_2$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 7$ , then  $\Delta(G) \leq \chi_{ef}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ , and  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$  iff  $G$  is an  $h_2^*$ -graph.*

**Proof** By induction on  $p(G)$ . If  $p(G) = 7, 8$ , the theorem follows by enumeration. Suppose that it is valid for  $p-1$  and let  $G$  be an  $h_2$ -graph with  $|V(G)| = p \geq 9$ . If  $G$  is an  $h_2^*$ -graph, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$ . Now suppose that  $G$  is not a  $h_2^*$ -graph, we show that  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G)$ . By Corollary 2.2,  $G$  contains at most two  $\Delta$ -vertices. However, when  $G$  contains two adjacent or nonadjacent  $\Delta$ -vertices, the assertion has been verified in Theorems 3.5 or 3.6. Thus we need only consider the case in which  $G$  contains a unique  $\Delta$ -vertex  $w$ . Let  $N_G^c(w) = \{x\}$ , then  $d_G(x) \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ . If  $d_G(x) \leq 1$ , we define the graph  $H = G - x$ . Clearly  $\Delta(H) = \Delta(G) = p(G) - 2 = p(H) - 1 \geq 7$ , so  $H$  is an  $h_1$ -graph. Since  $G$  is not an  $h_2^*$ -graph,  $H$  is not a  $h_1^*$ -graph. By Theorem 3.2, we prove easily  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \chi_{ef}(H) = \Delta(H) = \Delta(G)$ . So we may assume that  $d_G(x) \geq 2$ . In this case, by means of Lemma 2.9 and applying a similar discussion as in Theorem 3.2, we can complete the proof of theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8** *If  $G$  is a 2-connected  $h_2$ -graph with  $p(G) \geq 7$ , then  $\chi_{ef}(G) = \Delta(G)$ .*

## References

- [1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph theory with applications*, Macmillan, New York (1976).
- [2] O. V. Borodin, Simultaneous coloring of edges and faces of plane graphs, *Discrete Math.* **128** (1994), 21-33.
- [3] Lin Cuiqin, Hu Guanzhang and Zhang Zhongfu, A six-color theorem for the edge-face coloring of plane graphs, *Discrete Math.* **141** (1995), 291-297.

- [4] Recent advances in graph theory, Proc. Internat. Symp. Prague, (Academic, Praha) *Problem Section*: 543 (1975).
- [5] Wang Weifan, The edge-face chromatic number of plane graphs with lower degrees, *Applied Math. — A J. of Chinese Universities A* 8(3) (1993), 300-307.
- [6] Wang Weifan, Equitable colorings and total colorings of graphs, Doctoral Thesis, Nanjing University, 1997.
- [7] A. Waller, Simultaneously coloring the edges and faces of plane graphs, *J. Combin. Theory B* 69(2) (1997), 219-221.

(Received 23/10/97)